

March 6, 1973

SENATOR SYAS: Yeah, I want to talk on the bill though.

SPEAKER: Senator DeCamp do you want to be heard on the amendment? Is there any further discussion of Senator Carpenter's amendment to LB 219? That's what under discussion here. You need the motion read again? Alright the Clerk will read the motion once again, the amendment.

ASSISTANT CLERK: On page 2, line 19, insert the words or informal after formal, strike section 2, and renumber section 3, section 2, etc. (read).

SPEAKER: Now, any further discussion? Senator Carpenter do you care to close on your motion? The question is shall that amendment be adopted, all those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. You are voting on the adoption of Senator Carpenter's motion, Senator Lewis voting no.

CLERK: Senator Lewis voting no.

SPEAKER: Voting on the adoption of Senator Carpenter's amendment to LB 219. Have you all voted? The Clerk will record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 14 nays, 10 not voting.

SPEAKER: The amendment is adopted. Now back to LB 219, are there other amendments to 219?

CLERK: Further amendment from Senator Luedtke (read).

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Senator Luedtke, but before you take, Senator Luedtke, just before you take it up, the Chair would like to recognize that we additionally have fifty seniors from the Schuyler High School, Schuyler, Nebraska, instructor is Mr. Martens and they are seated in the south balcony and we are delighted to have you likewise today as spectators to the Nebraska Unicameral Legislature, we hope you enjoy your visit here to the Capitol City. Now, Senator Luedtke we have you for your motion.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President I move for the adoption of the amendment to LB 219, however, the second part of this amendment would be out of order because section 2, as I understand it Senator Carpenter has been eliminated from the bill, is that correct, so therefore, the second part would not be applicable, so I'm only asking that the bill be amended by inserting the following language after the word policy, in line 18, section 1, subparagraph 2, page 2, where it would be, the new wording would be except discussion of pending or prospective litigation effecting the rights of the governing body. Now what that does it says that if they are going to come together, to discuss pending law suits, in other words if you have your attorney, and you had an attorney client relationship with an individual to his attorney, the attorney comes before this board and wants to discuss either filing a law suit or wants to discuss some of the particulars about a law suit, this obviously should not be done in a public form, because this is an attorney client relationship which should be protected under the exception which I put into this bill. I just want to make sure there is that opportunity for the entire board, to discuss this with the attorney, I think the attorney should have a right to thoroughly explain what the rights are, in any kind of such

(End of Belt #9)